UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,530	03/18/2005	Shinsuke Inoue	17195/002001	8299
22511 OSHA LIANG	7590 08/25/200 L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
TWO HOUSTO	ON CENTER	TRUONG, DUC		
909 FANNIN, S HOUSTON, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/25/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@oshaliang.com buta@oshaliang.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/528,530 INOUE ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit		
Duc Truong	1796		

	Duc Truong		1796	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover she	et with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 August 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONE	DITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendmal (with appeal fee) in	nent, affidavit compliance v	, or other evidence, w vith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the otter than SIX MONTHS from (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b)	date set forth in om the mailing	date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrumer 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the correspon hortened statutory period	nding amount o I for reply origir	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or sear w); er form for appeal by r	ch (see NOT	E below); ucing or simplifying th	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12				PTOL-324)
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allered. 	·			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) objected to: NONE. Claim(s) rejected: 9,11-13 and 15-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14.			be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why	y the affidavit	or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections	under appeal	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			•	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (·		condition for allowand	ce because:
13. Other:	TO/Ob/00) Fapel NO	,(<i>3)</i>		
	/Duc Truong Primary Exan	•	nit 1796	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the reasons as stated in the last Office action and for the following reasons:

Claims 9 and related claims are rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, is maintained, because the specification does reasonably provide enablement for at least 1 mole% (see page 11, line 6) instead of at least 30 mole%, as in the instant claims. the Declaration has supported for Examiner's arguments, since no unexpected results between the Ex. 1 and 2 (0mole% compared to 10 mole% in that the solvent solubility is 0.2 compared to 0.29). Further, the Declaration discloses the most unexpected results upon the use of 30 mole%, and 50 mole%, 1.0 compared to 1.4. However, it does not explain why the solvent solubility has been decreased while the molar ratio of 3-ODPA goes up to 100 mole%, down to 1.3. this is consistent with the teachings of the references that 3-ODPA as an optional component and is not required because there is no unexpected results between Ex. 1 and 2 in the Declaration. Since the claims have been amended to include a limitation "at least 30 mole% of the acid component of the claimed formula (I) then the 102 rejection is replaced by 103 rejection in that the differences are the references do not disclose said molar ratio. However, at least JP-2001-323062 does disclose that said dianhydride as an optional component, is not required or in small amount which does not affect the claimed characteristics such as the solvent solubility, therefore, to modify said molar ratios in the references within the limitation of the instant claims to get the rpoduct having the claimed properties is the level of ordianry skill in the art and would have been obvious in the absence of a showing of unexpected results derived from said modification.

Calims 9,11-13 and 15-20 are reejcted under 103 © as being unpatentable over 136: 7165 or 136:7164 or 136:7161 or 136:7160 or 136:7159, for the reasons as stated in the last office action and for the reasons, as stated above.